

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/364,085	07/30/1999	URI ELZUR	INTL-0149-US	8923	
:	7590 03/01/2002				
TIMOTHY N TROP TROP PRUNER HU & MILES P C 8554 KATY FREEWAY SUITE 100			EXAMINER		
			LEE, WENDY		
HOUSTON, TX 77024			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2155		
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/01/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.

		Application No.	Applicant(a)				
Office Action Summary			Applicant(s)				
		09/364,085	ELZUR, URI	0			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
_	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Wendy Lee	th the correspondence address				
Period fo	r Reply	pears on the cover sneet wit	ir the correspondence addres	15			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sisions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep- period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a re ply within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT te, cause the application to become AB/	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. (HS from the mailing date of this commu ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	inication.			
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u></u> •					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.					
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application	on.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) 🗌 🗆	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	•					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11)[7	The proposed drawing correction filed on		sapproved by the Examiner.				
40\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	• •					
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xamıner.					
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	in priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
-	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International But the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		je			
14)□ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional app	olication).			
	☐ The translation of the foreign language procedures The translation of the foreign language procedures.						
Attachment							
2) 🛛 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152				
S. Patent and Tr	ademark Office						

Art Unit: 2155

DETAILED ACTION

Oath/Declaration

It does not include the inventor's signature. It is requested that the applicant sign and date the oath.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1, 3-10, 12-15, and 17-19, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Jackowski et al. U.S. Patent 6,141,686.
- 2. Referring to claim 1, Jackowski et al. discloses a method for use with a computer system, comprising: storing a table in a memory (Figure 5 Item 60 and Col. 5 lines 7-11) of a peripheral, the table including entries identifying different packet flows (Col. 4 lines 61-63); receiving a packet (Figure 5); and using the table to associate the packet with one of the packet flows (Figure 9A and 9B).

Art Unit: 2155

- 3. Referring to claim 9, Jackowski et al. discloses a network controller (Figure 5) for use with a computer system, comprising: a memory adapted to store a table including entries identifying different packet flows (Figure 5 Item 60 and Col. 5 lines 7-11); a first interface adapted to receive a packet from a network (Figure 5); and a circuit adapted to use the table to associate the packet with one of the packet flows (Figure 5).
- 4. Referring to claim 14, Jackowski et al. discloses a computer system comprising: a system memory; a processor; and a peripheral comprising: a peripheral memory adapted to store a table including entries identifying different packet flows (Figure 5 Item 60); a first interface adapted to receive a packet (Figure 5); a second interface adapted to communicate with the system memory (Figure 5); and a circuit adapted to: use the table to associate the packet with one of the packet flows (Figure 5 and Col. 9 lines 3-19), and based on the association, interact with the second interface to selectively transfer a portion of the packet to the system memory for processing by the processor (Figure 5).
- 5. Referring to claim 3, 12, and 17 Jackowski et al. discloses the method of claim 1, 9 and 14 wherein said at least one characteristic comprises: a port number being associated with an application (Col. 5 lines 3-4).
- 6. Referring to claim 4, 13, and 18 Jackowski et al. discloses the method of claim 1, 9, 14 wherein said at least one characteristic comprises: a security attribute (Col. 3 lines 34-38).

Application/Control Number: 09/364,085 Page 4

Art Unit: 2155

Referring to claim 5, Jackowski et al. discloses the method of claim 1, further comprising:
 based on the association, selectively using hardware to process the packet (Abstract and Col. 17 lines 15-20).

- 8. Referring to claim 6, Jackowski et al. discloses the method of claim 1, further comprising:
 based on the association, selectively executing software to process the packet (Figure 10 and
 Col. 9 lines 3-19).
- 9. Referring to claim 7 and 15, Jackowski et al. discloses the method of claim 1 and 14 wherein the peripheral comprises: a network controller (Figure 5 and Col. 8 lines 7-23).
- 10. Referring to claim 8 and 19, Jackowski et al. discloses the method of claim 1 and 14 and a high-level application module (Figure 5 Item 32). Jackowski et al. does not explicit disclose storing the packet in another memory. However, the high level applications as disclosed by Jackowski et al. send and receive information to a network by making calls to Winsock-2 library by calling application programming interfaces (API). It is inherent that any high level application processing of the received packets requires some kind of storage or memory to function. Therefore, it is obvious that high-level application module comprises a memory for information retrieval and for more convenient accessibility.

Art Unit: 2155

11. Referring to claim 10, Jackowski et al. discloses the network controller of claim 9, further comprising: a second interface adapted to furnish at least a portion of the packet to a memory of the computer system based on the association (Figure 5 and Col. 7 lines 58-65).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claims 2, 11 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jackowski et al. as applied to claim 1, 9, and 14 above, and further in view of Radogna et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,991,299.
- 13. Referring to claim 2, 11, and 16, Jackowski et al. discloses the method of claim 1, 9, and 14 where an examiner, coupled to the interceptor, examines the network event intercepted and collects statistical information about the network event (Col. 4 lines 61-64). However, Jackowski et al. does not explicitly disclose a parser that identifies the packet and header characteristics. Radogna et al. discloses a method for translating data link layer and network layer frame headers at higher speed for processing wherein the packet indicates a header and

Art Unit: 2155

the act of using the table (Figure 2) comprises: parsing the packet to identify at least one characteristic of the packet (Figure 2 Item 46 and Col. 3 lines 23-29 and 50-59); and comparing said at least one characteristic to the entries (Col. 4 lines 4-17). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the collection of statistical network flow and packet information as disclosed by Jackowski et al. to include a Receive Header Processor as disclosed by Radogna et al. because the parser not only collects information but specifically breaks information into manageable parts. A parser may also check to see that all inputs have been provided.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wendy Lee whose telephone number is 703-308-9119. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (8:30am-5:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 703-305-9648. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7201 for regular communications and 703-305-7201 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2155

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

WL

February 22, 2002

DAVID WILEY PRIMARY EXAMINER

Attachment for PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) 6/18/01

The below text replaces the pre-printed text under the heading, "Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes," on the back of the PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) form.

INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

1. Correction of Informalities -- 37 CFR 1.85

New corrected drawings must be filed with the changes incorporated therein Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and centered within the top margin. If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the Notice of Allowability. Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b) for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability. The drawings should be filed as a separate paper with a transmittal letter addressed to the Official Draftsperson.

2. Corrections other than Informalities Noted by Draftsperson on form PTO-948.

All changes to the drawings, other than informalities noted by the Draftsperson. MUST be made in the same manner as above except that, normally, a highlighted (preferably red ink) sketch of the changes to be incorporated into the new drawings MUST be approved by the examiner before the application will be allowed. No changes will be permitted to be made, other than correction of informalities, unless the examiner has approved the proposed changes.

Timing of Corrections

Applicant is required to submit the drawing corrections within the time period set in the attached Office communication. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in ABANDONMENT of the application